IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 116 OF 2005

JOSE JACOME SALDANHA ....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF GOA THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY AND 5 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. R. B. D'Sa, advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Winnie Coutinho, Govt. Advocate for respondent nos.1,2,3 & 5.
Mr. S. S Kantak with Ms. Rakhi Chodankar, advocates for respondent no.4.
Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate, with Ms. K. Sambari, advocate for respondent no.6.

Coram:- S.S. PARKAR &
V.M. KANADE, JJ.

Date:- 8th August, 2005

P.C.

Various reliefs have been prayed for in this petition under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act and the Goa Panchyat Raj Act, 1994. The main grievance of the petitioner is that his complaint made to the Director of Panchayats has not been decided. Secondly, his grievance is that the Block Development Officer after having stopped the work on the complaint made by the petitioner, could not have revoked his own order when the appeal is maintainable under Section 66(7) of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, before the Director. Thus, the various grievances made by the petitioner can be decided by the Director. The learned Advocate General states that the complaints and the applications made by the petitioner shall be decided by the Director at an early date.

In view of the above, the petitioner does not press this petition.

Accordingly, we direct the Director of Panchyats to dispose of the applications and the complaints made by the petitioner which are pending

before him, within a period of six weeks from today. In view of the above directions, the petitioner does not press this petition. The petition shall stand disposed of as not pressed keeping all the contentions open.

S.S. PARKAR, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.